Objection to an urgent Crown Development Order for MOD Bicester Site A

Source file	Objection to an urgent Crown Development Order for MOD Bicester Site A (18).msg
From	[redacted]
To	Ministerial Correspondence
Cc	calum.miller.mp@parliament.uk

You don't often get email from [redacted]. Learn why this is important <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>

Dear Secretary of State,

I am writing as a local resident to oppose the use of the urgent planning process for the proposal to accommodate up to 1,250 single adult male asylum seekers at Site A, MOD Bicester.

On 9 July, my MP, Calum Miller, was informed by a Defence Minister that the Home Office first approached the MOD about the use of this site in November 2025.

You will be aware that the use of the site for such a huge asylum camp is very controversial – and widely opposed – locally. This was the case some years ago when the use of land at MOD BIcester for an asylum centre was scrapped after circa. 15 million pounds was wasted by the government pursuing the use for an asylum centre. It is very important that local people – and our local representatives in the councils, health board, police and other services – are given sufficient information and time to make an intelligent response.

It is clearly unreasonable for this to be considered “urgent”. The Government cannot consider it for nine months and then give local residents a matter of days to contribute to a consultation.

Some concerns:
>The use would likely be for predominantly young males
>We don’t know their background so some could be criminals or ex criminals where they’ve come from
> They are illegal migrants not genuine asylum seekers otherwise they would go through the correct channels of application
> They are allowed to roam around the towns and streets
> Their culture is so different from ours and there is serious concerns for the local females as has been experienced elsewhere where illegal migrant’s are housed.
> The government won’t release the number of crimes committed by migrants in Britain, even taking legal action to prevent the information being released to the public which says it all !

Can I therefore urge you to withdraw consent for this to be treated as an urgent application and instead insist that the usual planning process is followed, so local voices can be heard about a proposal that will affect us far more than any Secretary of State in London?

Yours sincerely,

[redacted]